

To be completed by BCCM only: Date Sent: 01/18/02 / Reclassified – 05/17/02								
	(1) C	E REQUE	ST LOG	# CR62	1			
	-		(2) STA	TUS				
To be completed by CCM or BellSouth:								
(3) REQUEST TYPE	☐ TYPE 2 (REGULATORY)		☐ TYPE 3 (INDUSTRY)		□ ту	PE 4 (BST)	TYPE 5 (CLEC)	
	TYPE 6 (DEFECT) NOTE: COMPLETE SECTION 2		☐ EXPEDITED FEATURE		□ FL	.OW-THRU		
SECTION 1								
(4) COMPANY NAME		Covad Communications						
(5) OCN								
(6) CCM NAME		Colette Davis						
(7) TELEPHONE NUMBER		404.942.3493						
(8) CCM EMAIL ADDRESS								
(9) CCM FAX NUMBER								
(10) ALTERNATE CCM NAME								
(11) ALTERNATE PHONE NUMBER								
(12) ORIGINATOR'S NAME		Colette Davis						
(13) ORIGINATOR'S PHONE NUMBER		404.942.3493						
(14) TITLE OF CHANGE REQUEST ECC		ECCKT Not Returned on Mechanized or Manual Loop Orders for Line share Orders						
(15) CATEGORY			ADD NEW FUNCTIONLITY			X CHANGE EXISTING		
(16) DESIRED DUE DATE								



(17) ORIGINATING CCM ASSESSMENT OF IMPACT		HGH	MEDIUM		Low			
(18) ORIGINATING CCM ASSESSMENT OF PRIORITY		GENT	X HIGH		MEDIUM		Low	
(19) INTERFACES IMPACTED							1	
PRE-ORDERING		IS	☐ TAG		☐ CSOTS			
ORDERING		:DI	X LENS		☐ TAG		LNP	
MAINTENANCE		TAFI	☐ EC-TA Local					
MANUAL		Manual						
(20) TYPE OF CHANGE (Check one or more, as applicable)								
X Software X Product & Servic			tation	Hardw	are		r Revised Edits	
Regulatory Industry Standar		Process		☐ Other		□ Defect		
Expedited Feature Flow Through								
(21) DESCRIPTION OF REQUESTED CHANGE (Including purpose and benefit received from this change. Include attachments if available)	provide the circuit information back through EDI as well as in the					n needs to Il as in the tice a CSOTS. The via EDI.		
(22) REQ TYP(s) IMPACTED:		Lineshare Orders						
(23) ACT TYP(s) IMPACTED:								
(24) PROVIDE EXAMPLE OF REQUESTED CHANGE:								
(25) Identify the LSOG versions that are affected by this change								
This section to be completed by BellSouth only:								
(26) Does this request require clarification?								



(27) Clarification Request Sent 01/21/02

# **Change Request Form**

	03/25/02		
(28) Clarification Response Due	04/03/02		
(29) Change Request Review Date	04/09/02 – 04/10/02		
(30) Target Implementation Date			
(31) Change Review Meeting Result	01/21/02 Request placed in Clarification Status. 03/11/02 CLEC sent response to Clarification. 03/12/02 CMT verified with CLEC (Colette) PC response. 03/12/02 Being reviewed by BellSouth. 03/25/02 Request placed in Clarification Status. 03/28/02 Colette (CLEC) provided clarification response to this request. 04/01/02 BellSouth (FTTF) request additional time to investigate the response to this request. 04/03/02 BellSouth response sent to CLEC. This request has been reclassified as a "Defect" and will be corrected in a future Release TBD. 04/10/02 BellSouth determined that this request is not a "Defect" as previously stated and on the FTTF conference call 04/09/02, it was agreed the feature would be prioritized by the CLECs as a feature. 04/18/02 Prioritized at the 04/09/02 CCP/FTTF meeting. Ballots were due on 04/10/02. Status changed to "Candidate Request". 05/16/02 – Re-classified as a defect and will be corrected in a future release TBD.		
(32) CANCELED CHANGE REQUEST	☐ DUPLICATE ☐ TRAINING ☐ CLARIFICATION NOT RECEIVED		
(33) CANCELLATION ACKNOWLEDG			
(35) ONITOLIZATION AUTHORIZADO			
(34) APPEAL YES N	0		
(35) APPEAL CONSIDERATIONS			
SECTION 2 This section to be completed by CLEC/Bo	ellSouth- External Explanation of Type 6 Defect Change Request		
(36) PON #			
(37) ERROR MESSAGE:			
(29) DELEASE OR ADI VEDSION			

**Attachment A-1A** 

(If applicable)





(39) DESCRIPTION OF DEFECT SCENARIO:			
SECTION 3 This section to be completed by BellSouth – Internal Validation of Defect Change Request			
(40) DEFECT VALIDATION RESULTS:	05/16/02 – BellSouth has determined that this is a defect and will be corrected in a future release TBD.		
(41) CLARIFICATION NEEDED: YES	NO		
(42) VALIDATED DEFECT IMPACT LEVEL:  HIGH MEDIUM LOW			
(43) VALIDATION TYPE: DEFECT	FEATURE TRAINING ISSUE DUPLICATE		
(44) DEFECT IMPACTS OTHER CLECS?	YES NO		
(45) INTERFACES IMPACTED BY DEFECT:	☑ EDI ☑ TAG ☐ LNP ☑ LENS		
	☐ TCIF 7 ☑ TCIF 9		
(46) TARGET IMPLEMENTATION DATE:	TBD		



